PROJECT A119 - EXTRAORDINARY CLAIMS REQUIRE EXTRAORDINARY EVIDENCE



by Omar Jordan

One of my all time favorite quotes comes from <u>Carl Sagan</u> in which he once proclaimed that "Extraordinary Claims Require Extraordinary Evidence."

As a matter of fact, I approach almost all information with this quote as a central principle in how I process information. Does the information I'm being asked to believe satisfy this simple principle? In the case of COVID-19 - we have been asked to believe that an invisible deadly pathogen that nobody has ever observed or proven, is threatening to wipe out all of humanity unless we give up all of our rights and give the banks 6 trillion dollars.

I'm not sure about you, but I would consider that to be quite an extraordinary claim! I would also say that so far, we haven't been shown ANY evidence (let alone extraordinary evidence) that the SARS-COV-2 virus exists, at least not by the strict standards of the scientific method.

Remember, no COVID-19 virus has been properly isolated. By this point, this is a well documented fact, and I don't need to rehash all of the evidence here.

Furthermore, I would also say that given that no negative control experiments were performed (outside of Lanka's), we still do not have extraordinary evidence that the virus (if it exists), actually causes the illness.

But this isn't anything new ... there have indeed been so many extraordinary claims coming from the institutions of science over the last few decades, many of which provided absolutely no evidence whatsoever, let alone extraordinary evidence.

Take Project A119, for example.

Have you ever heard of Project A119?

Maybe some of you have, maybe some of you have never heard of it. Project A119 was written by the same scammers who brought you 19 Muslim Hijackers, COVID-19 and 911. They really do love these numbers, I tell ya.

You see, COVID-19 arrived on the 19th Anniversary of 9/11, where 19 Invisible Muslims with 19 fictitious boxcutters allegedly crashed 2 airplanes (Flights 11 and 175 [13]) into the World Trade Center Towers. Flights 77 (Libri) and 93 (Thelema) - (you can look those up) - were also hijacked. In fact, the towers themselves were a giant number 11, as well – and they fell in 9 and 11 seconds, according to the official NIST 9/11 report.

From WikiPedia:

Project A119, also known as A Study of Lunar Research Flights, was a **top-secret plan** developed in 1958 by the <u>United States Air Force</u>. The aim of the project was to **detonate a** <u>nuclear bomb</u> on the <u>Moon</u>, [LOL!] which would help in answering some of the mysteries in planetary astronomy and <u>astrogeology</u>.

If the explosive device detonated on the surface, not in a <u>lunar crater</u>, the flash of explosive light would have been faintly visible to people on Earth with their <u>naked</u> <u>eye</u>, a show of force resulting in a possible boosting of domestic <u>morale</u> in the

capabilities of the United States, a boost that was needed after the <u>Soviet Union</u> took an early lead in the <u>Space Race</u> and was also working on a similar project...The main objective of the program, which ran under the auspices of the United States Air Force, which had initially proposed it, was to cause a nuclear explosion that would be visible from Earth. It was hoped that such a display would **boost the morale of the American people.**"

Ahh yes! Because nothing makes Americans feel all warm and fuzzy inside like detonating a nuclear bomb on the fucking moon!

I wonder if there's any extraordinary evidence available that this tall tale of **nuking the moon** is even possible?

You know, given that the claim of even *going* to the moon is an obvious lie, and given that the vast majority of the evidence most likely proves that <u>nuclear</u> <u>weapons are a massive hoax</u> – we have been asked to believe that these super smarty pants scientists are capable of combining these two gargantuan hoaxes and actually **detonate a nuclear weapon** ... **on the moon, in 1958**!

I dunno about you, but to me, that sounds like quite an *extraordinary* claim.

Well, one of the people involved in this silly Project A119 hoax was none other than pseudoscience clown Carl Sagan himself!

Let's note that in 1949, the <u>Armour Research Foundation</u> (ARF), based in the Illinois Institute of Technology, began **studying the impact of nuclear explosions** on the environment. These studies continued until 1962.

In May 1958, the ARF began a **secret investigation into the possible consequences of a nuclear explosion on the Moon**. The main objective of the program, organized under the auspices of the United States Air Force, was to carry out a nuclear explosion on the Moon, which would be visible from Earth. The ARF believed that such an experience would **contribute to the growth of the patriotism of the American people.**

During the project, **newspapers spread rumors that the U.S.S.R. had planned to detonate a thermonuclear bomb on the Moon**. In late 1957, the American press also reported that the U.S.S.R. planned to celebrate the anniversary of the October Revolution, coinciding with the lunar eclipse of November 7, 1957, with **nuclear explosions on the Moon**.

Project A119 was canceled by the U.S. Air Force in January 1959. Reasons have not been given.

Presumably, on the one hand, the initiators of the project and the American leaders **feared a negative reaction from the public** and, on the other hand, the Project A119 could represent a **danger for the population in the event of an unsuccessful launch**. Another argument against Project A119, cited by Leonard Reiffel, was the possible consequences of radioactive contamination of large areas of the Moon, which **could in the future be used in the study and colonization of the Moon**. (Source)

Excuses, excuses.

Sagan, not so ironically, translates to THE SAGA. He rose to international fame and became one of those ridiculous celebrity science popularizers, similar to today's version in Neil "the Ass" Tyson.

Their job is to infest our TV programming and popular culture with mass Propaganda and fairy tales, usually about space travel, nuclear weapons and other hoaxes. Of course that's just my opinion, based on the (lack of) extraordinary evidence, and you are free to disagree, if you like.

Sagan spent most of his career at the spookish <u>Cornell University</u> (of course he did) and masqueraded as an "expert in critical thinking", selling millions of copies of books on the subject, and was highly regarded as an expert on this topic of **critical thinking and logic**.

Here's a quick blurb about his book <u>*The Demon Haunted World*</u> which claims to debunk pseudoscience and "set the record straight" regarding all things "scientific and unscientific" ...

Winner of the Los Angeles Times Book Prize for Science and Technology and a New York Times Bestseller, 'The Demon Haunted World' is a book that you will relish and struggle to put down; one whose arguments you are sure to recount every time you **come across the banner of pseudoscience.**

and here's what WikiPedia has to say about the book:

The book is intended to <u>explain the scientific method to laypeople</u>, and to encourage people to learn critical or skeptical thinking. It explains methods to help distinguish between ideas that are considered valid science, and ideas that can be considered pseudoscience. Sagan stated that when new ideas are offered for consideration, they should be *"tested by means of skeptical thinking, and should stand up to rigorous questioning."*

Do you think that this COVID-19 story has been <u>tested by means of skeptical</u> <u>thinking?</u> Has it been able to stand up to rigorous questioning?

What about the idea of **nuking the moon?** Was that ever tested by means of skeptical thinking or scrutinized by rigorous questioning? Are any of these people really conducting science in a way that satisfies *their own standards*?

Well, I can tell you that I actually agree that it's important to encourage people to learn critical and/or skeptical thinking. We all should be thinking critically when it comes to these ideas that we've been presented with that are considered by the mainstream to be "valid science" versus pseudoscience or Science Fiction.

Personally, I see no reason to believe in any of these scientific claims, given that we have been lied to over and over and over again by these people, for many decades; and also given that there has been very little any evidence to prove most of these wild claims, outside of a few fake photos and videos ... certainly not **extraordinary** evidence.

I also agree that all of these presentations should be *"tested by means of skeptical thinking and should stand up to rigorous questioning"* ... especially when it comes to suspicious sciences like Space Travel, Nuclear Weapons and Virology.



Here is a photo of Carl Sagan, standing next to the Viking Lander. (Source)

We are asked to believe that the Viking Lander was the first US spacecraft to ever land successfully on Mars, in the mid-1970s. (<u>Source</u>)

We are further asked to believe that this ridiculous contraption (and its orbiter) traveled **350 million miles** to Mars (which took 11 months), and successfully landed on the distant planet on the 7th anniversary of the Apollo 11 fake moon landing (7/20/1976) as part of NASA's Viking Program, back when computer technology was in its infancy.

We are also asked to believe that it operated on the harsh surface of Mars (with temperatures ranging from -220 degrees F to +70 degrees F and an average temperature of -81 degrees) for 2307 days or over 6 and a half years!!!

Also, keep in mind that they managed to do this (allegedly) with *"almost no prior knowledge of what the surface would be like."*

Well, if you believe that story, I encourage you to watch the classic <u>propaganda</u> <u>video</u> on the Viking 1 WikiPedia page, and perhaps take a moment to rethink all of this.

I'd like to suggest that this flimsy Hollywood Prop couldn't even last a week in a midwest snowstorm, let alone travel 350 million miles through the numerous and then unknown dangers of space, successfully landing on Mars and then continuing to operate for 6 and a half years, sending images back to Earth without the need for any maintenance/repairs whatsoever, or any problems with the batteries, components, cameras, dust storms, extreme temperatures, etc...

...and yet NASA and Sagan sold this to us as fact, without providing extraordinary evidence (other than a few Hollywood Props, crude animations, and easily fake photographs and documents), that such a technological marvel could actually do such a thing.

Now, if we were to apply Sagan's "Extraordinary Claims Require Extraordinary Evidence" quote as a measure of **critical thinking** and exercise even just a wee bit of skepticism, in order to distinguish ideas that are considered valid science from ideas that can be considered pseudoscience - does it make any sense that these people had the ability to **nuke the moon** in 1958, or land this silly looking thing on Mars in the 1970s?

Or is it more reasonable to conclude that these people are scamming us? Given what we know about <u>NASA's direct ties to Hollywood</u>, what's the logical conclusion here?

Have NASA and Carl Sagan provided extraordinary evidence for such extraordinary claims? Or are they just snake oil salesmen who don't even try to satisfy their own standards of critical and scientific thinking?

Well, only you can decide for yourselves. Personally, I think the entire thing is a laughable fraud and Carl Sagan is a spook, and a deceiver. Of course an entire paper could be written to expose him, but if it isn't obvious enough, you can probably do that further research on your own.

As it turns out ... Carl Sagan's famous quote was actually lifted and reworded from Laplace's principle, which stated that "the weight of evidence for an extraordinary claim must be proportioned to its strangeness". (Gillispie et al., <u>1999</u>)

Which means he's also a fraud and a plagiarizer. Color me surprised.

Carl Sagan and Project A119 All Darkside Papers Telegram Channel Special thanks to Simon Shack